On May 11, 2000, the FPSC issued a 108-page Final Order on Collocation (Order PSC-00-0941-FOF-TP) regarding 21 issues. The issues addressed in this proceeding were: ILEC response to a collocation application, applicability of term "premises", ILEC obligations regarding off-premises collocation, conversions of virtual to physical collocation, response and implementation intervals for changes to existing space, division of responsibilities between ILECs and collocators, cageless collocation provisioning intervals, demarcation point between ILEC and ALEC facilities, parameters for reserving space for future use, generic parameters for the use of administrative space, equipment obligations, timing and detail of price quotes, ALEC participation in price quote development, use of ILEC-certified contractors by ALEC, automatic extension of provisioning intervals, allocation of costs between multiple carriers, limited space availability information, post-waiver space availability information, forecasting requirements for central office expansions and additions, and the "first come, first served" rule upon denial of waiver. BST, GTE-FL, and Sprint filed Motions for Reconsideration and on November 17, 2000, the FPSC issued its Order on Reconsideration (Order No. PSC-00-2190-PCO-TP). In this Order, the FPSC clarified its decision regarding "off-premises" collocation and the demarcation point. The FPSC also granted reconsideration of conversions from virtual to physical collocation, cross-connects between collocators, "first come, first served" rule, and equipment obligations.

On August 15, 2002, the FPSC issued a notice of an issue identification meeting to be held September 12, 2002 to identify and discuss any remaining, unresolved collocation issues. In particular, certain technical and operational issues, as well as the issue of what rates should be set.

8. Docket 990750-TP: Petition by ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. d/b/a
ITC^DeltaCom for arbitration of certain unresolved issues in
interconnection negotiations between ITC^DeltaCom and BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc.

On June 11, 1999, ITC^DeltaCom filed its petition for arbitration with the FPSC. The FPSC decided 25 issues in the March 15, 2000 Final Order. (*Order PSC-00-0537-FOF-TP*). This Order included reciprocal compensation rates, cageless and shared collocation, OSS issues, an Unbundled Loop using IDLC technology, UNEs and UNE combinations, extended loops and loop/port combinations and rates for those combinations, provisioning for cageless collocation, and appropriate recurring and non-recurring rates and charges for loops.

9.

Dockets 960757-TP; 960833-TP; and 960846-TP: Petition by Metropolitan

Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc., AT&T Communications of the Southern

States, Inc., and MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro

Access Transmission Services, Inc., respectively, for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth

Telecommunications Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On December 2, 1996 (Docket 960833-TP), the FPSC identified unbundled network elements (UNEs) ILECs in Florida must provide, the appropriate wholesale rates for such UNEs; terms and conditions for resale of BellSouth services (retail services should be discounted at 21.83% for business and 16.81% for residential); and what was required to develop real-time and interactive access to ordering and provisioning via electronic interfaces, etc. The FPSC issued a 117-page Order on December 31, 1996. (Order PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP).

Partied filed Motions for Reconsideration on several issues such as pricing of rebundled network elements, tariff terms and conditions, services excluded from resale, pricing of channelization and common and dedicated transport, PIC Changes, and wholesale discounts. The FPSC issued its Final Order on the Motion for Reconsideration on March 19, 1997. (Order PSC-97-0298-FOF-TP). On June 19, 1997, the FPSC issued its Final Order approving the Agreement. (Order PSC-97-0724-FOF-TP).

In March 1998, in Phase II of these proceedings, the FPSC Staff issued its recommendation on permanent recurring and non-recurring rates for unbundled network elements, (including network interface device; 2-wire/4-wire loop distribution; virtual collocation; physical collocation; directory assistance;

dedicated transport (non-recurring only); 4-wire analog port; 2-wire ADSL-compatible loop; 2-wire/4-wire HDSL-compatible loop). On April 29, 1998, the FPSC issued a 167-page order setting forth the rates for the elements above, as well as, cost of capital; depreciation; tax factors; shared and common costs; and non-recurring charges.

10.

990649-TP Investigation into Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements

(UNEs)

On May 20, 1999, the FPSC opened a generic UNE pricing docket to investigate the pricing of UNEs for the three major ILECs in Florida (BellSouth, Sprint-Florida, GTE Florida). This docket was opened to address the deaveraged pricing of UNEs, as well as pricing of UNE combinations and nonrecurring charges.

On December 7, 1999, the parties executed a Joint Stipulation regarding Interim Deaveraging and a Stipulation of Certain Issues and Schedule of Events was filed by all parties in this docket. The Interim Deaveraging Stipulation provided for an effective date of May 1, 2000 (unless the FCC changed the effective date for deaveraged rates), interim deaveraged rates to be available to parties, which had interconnection agreements with BellSouth, and interim deaveraged rates that would not be subject to true-up once permanent rates had been set. In the Stipulation of Certain Issues, the parties also agreed to specific UNEs and UNE combos, as well as the cost methodology, for the generic proceedings.

On February 22, 2000, the FPSC issued an Order approving Interim Rate Stipulation. The Stipulation provided for interim deaveraged loop rates for the three ILECs with an effective date of May 1, 2000, unless the FCC changed the effective date for deaveraged rates, in which case the effective date of the interim deaveraged rates would be the new FCC Tariff rates. According to the Stipulation, the interim rates would not be subject to true up once permanent rates are set. (Order PSC-00-0380-S-TP).

On March 16, 2000, the FPSC issued a new Procedural Order (*Order PSC-00-0540-PCO-TP*) based on the stipulated issues in this docket. As a result of this Order, two hearings were scheduled in this docket. The FPSC conducted the first hearing from July 17-21, 2000, and the second hearing from September 19-21 and October 20, 2000.

The FPSC Staff Recommendation for both hearings was issued on April 6, 2001. The FPSC voted on this recommendation at a Special Agenda on April 18, 2001. On May 25, 2001, the Commission issued its final order on rates for UNEs (Order No. PSC-01-1181-FOF-TP). The order addressed the appropriate methodology, assumptions, and inputs for establishing rates for UNEs. In this Order, the Commission ordered BST to file, within 120 days of the issuance of the Order, a cost study for hybrid copper/fiber xDSL-capable loops and revisions to its cost studies for NIDs. BST was also ordered to file a "bottoms-up" loop

cost study, explicitly modeling engineering, structures and cable installation. Finally, BST was directed to submit a study of an SL1 loop that excluded a design layout record and a test point, but would be guaranteed not to be converted to alternate facilities.

On June 11, 2001, BST and CLECs filed motions for reconsideration. On October 18, 2001, the Commission issued an order on reconsideration (*Order No. PSC-01-2051-FOF-TP*). The order re-instated BST's inflation factors into the rates.

On September 24, 2001, BST filed the revisions to its cost studies in response to the May 25, 2001 order. Subsequently, BST revised its cost study on November 2, 2001, and January 28, 2002. A hearing was held on March 11-12, 2002. On June 13, 2002, the Commission considered staff's recommendation in this matter at a special agenda conference. At that agenda, the Commission voted to hold further consideration of this matter in abeyance for a period of 60 days from June 13, 2002. An order was issued June 19, 2002 confirming the Commission decision (*Order PSC-02-0841-PCO-TP*).

On August 26, 2002, the staff issued a recommendation regarding BST's 120 Day filing. On September 6, 2002, the Commission voted to approve the August 26, 2002 Staff Recommendation. The written order memorializing this decision is expected in late September 2002.

11. 960100-TP: Generic Investigation into Permanent Number Portability

The 1996 Act required all carriers to provide number portability in accordance

with the requirements prescribed by the FCC. 47 U.S.C. § 251(b)(2). The FPSC

established this docket in 1996 to begin its review of interim number portability.

In a March 24, 1997 Order, the FPSC ordered that the Florida Number Portability Standards Group (FNPSG) should continue to work in conjunction with other state implementation groups to develop a Southeast Region Permanent Number Portability mechanism. (Order PSC-97-0324-FOF-TP). LRN (Local Routing Number) was also endorsed by the FPSC as the permanent number portability mechanism to be used in the Southeast Region. Four months later, on July 14, 1997, the FPSC released another Order (Order PSC-97-0846-FOF-TP) in which they decided that Florida would participate in the Southeast Region Permanent Number Portability Database System. The Florida telecommunications industry believed that the regional approach would minimize the cost of implementing LRN as a permanent number portability mechanism in Florida.

On March 31, 2000, BellSouth completed a nine-phase implementation (including Florida) of LNP throughout its region that began in August 1998.

12. Docket 971140-TP: Motion of AT&T, MCI and MCImetro to Compel

BellSouth to comply with Order No. PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP and to set non-

recurring charges for Combination of Network Elements with BellSouth pursuant to their Agreement

In June 12, 1998, the FPSC ordered BellSouth to provide AT&T network elements individually or in combinations, whether already combined at the time of request or not. The FPSC also held that CLECs may provision network elements in any combination of their choosing, including the recreation of existing retail services. (Order PSC-98-0810-FOF-TP). The FPSC also found that BellSouth was obligated under its agreement with MCI to provide network elements to MCIm individually or combined, whether already combined at the time ordered or not.

13. Docket 981444-TP – Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number

Conservation Measures.

In October 1998, the FPSC established this docket to investigate number utilization/conservation efforts by the telecommunications industry in Florida. The FPSC petitioned the FCC for interim authority to implement 1,000 block number pooling (1KNP) in area codes in Florida that were in jeopardy of exhausting. The FCC granted the FPSC interim authority in September 1999 (FCC 99-249) and in October, the FPSC conducted its first industry workshop to discuss the effects of number pooling, rate center consolidation, short-term efficiency of numbers, and code sharing. The FPSC established a sub-team to investigate the feasibility of these measures. However, in February 2000, the FPSC Staff issued a recommendation to implement 1KNP by May 2000.

Because of various issues, including obtaining hardware and software from various vendors, updating its OSS systems, etc., neither BellSouth, nor the industry could meet this implementation date. The industry worked together to develop a plan, which it filed with the FPSC in April 2000. The FPSC adopted the industry's plan and ordered 1KNP in the first Florida MSA by January 22, 2001, with two additional MSAs to following in February and April. (Order PSC-00-1046-PAA-TP).

On July 28 2000, BellSouth filed a Motion for variance of the number pooling requirement for its 1AESS switches in those MSAs where the Commission had required number pooling to be implemented by April 2, 2001, due to technical reasons. On January 8, 2001, the FPSC issued its Order (*Order PSC-01-0051-PAA-TP*) denying BellSouth's Motion for Variance and ordering BellSouth to initiate number pooling in its 1AESS switches consistent with a procedure established by NeuStar (the Pooling Administrator). A Consummating Order (*PSC-01-0358-CO-TP*), which made the January 8th Order effective, was issued on February 8, 2001.

į

Prior to number pooling, BellSouth worked with the carriers to develop Voluntary Number Management Measures wherein the carriers agreed to efficient use and assignment of numbers to end users and attempt to make assignments of telephone numbers out of given blocks before making assignments out of another block. A Joint Motion and Stipulation was filed with the FPSC on May

27, 1999. The FPSC adopted the Joint Motion and Stipulation on July 20, 1999. (Order PSC-99-1393-S-TP).

14. Dockets 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, & 990517-TL – Numbering Plan Relief for Area Codes 305/786, 561, 954, & 904.

In April 1999, the FPSC opened these four dockets to address proposed area code numbering relief plans for the 305/786, 561, 954 and 904 area codes.

Service hearings were held throughout the state for those customers located in these area codes.

On October 20, 2000, the FPSC issued its Order (*Order PSC-00-1937-PAA-TP*) requiring code sharing, rate center consolidation, and additional 1KNP trials, among other things. Since that time, BellSouth has worked with the FPSC and the industry to develop a plan to implement 1KNP in 3 additional MSAs in Florida and rate center consolidation in the Keys. On February 2, 2001, the Florida Code Holders filed an Offer of Settlement, which included proposed 1KNP implementation dates for the three additional Florida MSAs and a proposal for rate center consolidation in the Keys. The Offer also addressed other issues in the PAA such as code sharing, code rationing, utilization thresholds, 561 NPA split, aging of numbers, wireless grandfathering and 904 area code split. The FPSC approved the Settlement Offer at the March 6, 2001 Agenda Conference.

Rate Center Consolidation was another conservation measure that BellSouth worked with the industry and the FPSC on developing. BellSouth agreed to implement RCC in the Florida Keys on a competitively neutral basis in exchange for the FPSC's agreement to withdraw its proposal to implement RCC in Miami-Dade County, as BellSouth and the industry agreed to implement both RCC and 1KNP in the Keys in 2001.

15. Docket 001503-TP: - Cost Recovery for Number Pooling Trials

On September 29, 2000, the FPSC opened this docket to investigate the cost recovery and allocation issues associated with the number pooling trials ordered in Florida. A workshop was held with all interested parties by the FPSC Staff on December 12, 2000, to discuss the issues that should be considered in this docket. Post-workshop comments were filed on February 16, 2001.

A staff recommendation was issued requiring BST to file a Cost study for the recovery of Number pooling. The Commission issued an Order to memorializing the Commission decision. BST filed its Cost study pursuant to Commission order. Awaiting staff recommendation regarding the recovery of cost for number pooling.

Docket 000075-TP: Investigation into Appropriate Method to Compensate

Carrier for Exchange of Traffic to Section 251 of the Telecom Act.

ORIGINAL

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

The FPSC opened this docket to address the appropriate method to compensate carriers for exchange of traffic. Subsequent to the FCC's decision in FCC Dockets Nos. 96-98 and 99-68 on matters regarding compensation for telecommunications traffic to ISPs that had been remanded to the FCC for further determination by the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, the FPSC required all parties to file supplemental briefs addressing the decision of the FCC in those Dockets. On March 27, 2002, the parties filed a joint stipulation requesting the commission defer action on the issues raised in Phase I of the docket pending court review. On May 7, 2002, the FPSC issued Order No. PSC-02-0634-AS-TP, approving the Joint stipulation, but leaving open the docket pending the resolution of issues to be addressed in Phase II of this proceeding.

Phase II of this proceeding began and additional issues were addressed. Some of the specific issues addressed were an ALEC's entitlement to: be compensated at the ILEC's tandem interconnection rate; and, the appropriate definition of the local calling area, for the purposes of determining the applicability of reciprocal compensation, etc. A hearing was conducted on July 5, 2001. On December 5, 2001, the FPSC held a special agenda conference to consider issues designated for resolution in Phase II. While most issues were ruled on, 2 of the issues were deferred and set for a one day hearing, which was subsequently held May 8, 2002. An order pending final decisions on the issues decided on December 5, 2001 were not memorialized in an order pending resolution of the 2 deferred issues.

The FPSC voted on the two remaining issues dealing with the definition of a local calling area and a default compensation mechanism for traffic subject to Section 251 of the Act on August 20, 2002.

An order was issued September 10, 2002 (Order No. PSC-02-1248-FOF-TP) resolving all of the issues addressed in this proceeding and closing the docket.

Support Systems Permanent Performance Measures for ILECs

On February 1, 2000, the FPSC established this docket to investigate permanent performance measures for ILECs. Several workshops were held throughout 2000 with all of the parties to begin the process of developing a Performance Assessment Plan (PAP) for Florida. After each workshop and/or new version of the FPSC Staff's PAP, BellSouth and many of the parties filed comments with the FPSC.

An Issues ID to identify specific issues in this docket was held January 19, 2001. The Hearing was conducted beginning April 25, 2001. The Staff Recommendation was issued on August 2, 2001, and the FPSC voted at the August 14, 2001 agenda conference. An order was released on September 10, 2001. BST provided its performance plan on October 4, 2001. Comments were filed regarding BST's Performance plan by parties on November 5, 2001. The

final order gave staff administrative authority to approve the Performance
Assessment plan if it complied with the final order. The Plan would become
effective 90 days from the Order approving the plan.

BST filed a motion for reconsideration on September 25, 2001, and Clecs filed a motion for clarification/reconsideration on October 29, 2001. A Staff recommendation was issued, and subsequently a Commission order was issued on December 14, 2001 denying BST's motion for reconsideration and denying CLECs joint motion for clarification (*Order PSC-01-2449-FOF-TP*). On January 23, 2002, BST submitted its SQM and SEEMs plan, and subsequently updated it on January 31, 2002. On February 12, 2002, the Commission issued an order (*Order PSC-02-0187-FOF-TP*) requiring the Plan to become effective 90 days from the issuance of the order, which was May 13, 2002. BY letter of clarification send to BST on February 12, 2002, the FPSC required BST to comply with the plan for the performance results for the month ending May 2002.

BST has implemented the SQM and SEEMs pursuant to FPSC order and are currently operating under this order.

A six month review of the FPSC ordered SQM and SEEMs is scheduled for September 25, 2002, with comments to be filed with the Commission on August 30, 2002.

18. Docket 992018-TP: Atlantic Arbitration

On December 23, 1999, BellSouth filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC. The issue in dispute was whether Atlantic could require BST to include a provision in the parties' resale agreement that would preclude BST from offering services to consumers covered by an exclusive service arrangement with Atlantic. On July 26, 2000, the FPSC issued an Order holding that exclusive service arrangements were not required in the agreement. (Order PSC-00-1351-FOF-TP)

19. Docket 000649-TP: MCI Arbitration

MCI filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC on May 26, 2000. While there were initially 111 issues to be resolved, 50 issues were left in dispute at the time the hearing was held on October 4-6, 2000. The issues included the combination of Unbundled Network Elements that are ordinarily combined, two-way trunks, designation of Points of Interconnection, etc. The Staff recommendation was issued on January 25, 2001 and was addressed at a special agenda on February 21, 2001. At the Special Agenda, the Commission approved the Staff Recommendations with a few modifications. The Commission's Order is dated March 30, 2001 (Order PSC-01-0824-FOF-TP).

On April 16, 2001 WorldCom filed a motion for reconsideration of *Order No. PSC-01-0824-FOF-TP*, and on April 23, 2001, BST filed its opposition to

WorldCom's motion. On April 27, 2001, Worldcom and BST filed a joint motion

ORIGINAL

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

for extension of time, requesting an additional 21 days to file their interconnection agreement. The motion for reconsideration and related pleadings were addressed in *Order No. PSC-01-1784-FOF-TP*, issued on August 31, 2001. On November 16, 2001, the FPSC approved the arbitrated interconnection agreement between BST and WorldCom *(Order no. PSC-01-2238-FOF-TP)*.

20. Docket 000907-TP: Level 3 Arbitration

Level 3 filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC on July 21, 2000. The issues to be arbitrated include designation of IPs, Reciprocal compensation, and provisioning intervals. A hearing was held on December 6, 2000. A Commission decision on the issues at the Agenda session held on March 6, 2001, the Final Order in this proceeding was released on March 26, 2001.

21. Docket 000828-TP: Sprint Communications Arbitration

Sprint filed a petition for arbitration on July 10, 2000. The issues to be arbitrated include resale issues, Combinations, EELs, Reciprocal compensation, and tandem switching compensation. A hearing was held on January 10, 2001. The Staff Recommendation was released April 5, 2001 and the Commission's Order was issued on May 8, 2001 (PSC-01-1095-FOF-TP).

22. Docket 001305-TP: BST Arbitration against Supra

BellSouth filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC on September 1, 2000.

The issues to be arbitrated include resale, alternate dispute resolution, and

access to OSS. A hearing is scheduled for May 16-17, 2001. In its Order dated May 23, 2001 (*PSC-01-1180-FOF-TI*), the Commission Ordered BellSouth and Supra to convene an Inter-Company Review Board within 14 days and to notify the FPSC within 10 days of the meeting as to any outstanding issues.

Pursuant to the order the parties held meetings held three meetings and filed post-meeting reports. Several of the original issues were withdrawn by the parities.

An administrative hearing was held September 26-27, 2001. On February 8, 2002, staff filed its post hearing recommendation for consideration at the February 19, 2002 agenda conference. By *order PSC-02-0413-FOF-TP*, issued March 26, 2002, the FPSC resolved the substantive issues presented for consideration, as well as several procedural motions filed by Supra.

On April 10, 2002, Supra filed a motion for reconsideration of the final order and its denial for rehearing. By *order PSC-02-0878-FOF-TP* issued July 1, 2002, the FPSC denied Supra's motion for reconsideration, among other issues. The order also required the parties to file their final interconnection agreement complying with the FPSC decision by July 15, 2002. On July 8, 2002, Supra filed a Motion to stay, which was denied by the Commission by *Order No PSC-02-1033-FOF-TP* issued July 30, 2002. Also on July 15, 2002, BST filed an interconnection

agreement along with an emergency motion for expedited Commission Action, and Supra filed a response in opposition on July 30, 2002.

On August 16, 2002 Supra filed its arbitrated Interconnection agreement with BST in accordance with *Order No. PSC-02-1096-FOF-TP*, issued August 9, 2002. Thereafter, on August 21, 2002, an amended adoption agreement between Supra and BST was filed, incorporating the dispute resolution provisions from the BST/ATT agreement into the new BST/Supra agreement.

By *Order PSC-02-1140 -FOF-TP*, issued August 22, 2002, the FPSC approved the agreements filed on August 16, 2002 and the amendment filed on August 21, 2002.

23. Docket 001436-TP: Pilgrim Arbitration

Pilgrim filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC on September 20, 2000. The issues to be arbitrated included alternative dispute resolution parameters, resale of billing and collections, and access to billing name and address and 900 number blocking information. On October 16, 2000, BellSouth filed a motion to dismiss the bill and collections, access to billing name and address, and 900 number blocking information. On May 1, 2001 the Commission, on its own motion, declined to hear Pilgrim's petition for arbitration.

24. Docket 001797-TP: Covad Arbitration

Covad filed an arbitration with the FPSC on December 15, 2000. Issues to be arbitrated include general terms and conditions of the agreement, resale, network elements, physical collocation, ordering and provisioning, billing and billing accuracy, and disaster recovery. An administrative hearing was held on June 27-28, 2001. By Order No. *PSC-01-2017-PCO-TP*, issued October 9, 2001, the FPSC rendered its decision on this arbitration. On December 21, 2001, BST filed its final executed agreement with Covad pursuant to FPSC Order. On February 27, 2002, the FPSC approved the agreement (*Order No. PSC-02-0252-FOF-TP*).

25. Docket 000731-TP: AT&T Arbitration

AT&T filed a petition for arbitration on June 16, 2000. The issues to be arbitrated include UNEs, performance measures, multi-unit installation access, local interconnection issues, reciprocal compensation, dispute resolution, and change control process. The hearing was held February 14-17, 2001. The Staff Recommendation was released May 3, 2001 and the Commission's Order was issued on June 28, 2001 (Order No. PSC-01-1402-FOF-TP).

On July 13, 2001, ATT and BST filed motions for reconsideration. On October 29, 2001, BST and ATT filed their separate agreements for approval by the FPSC. On December 7, 2001, the FPSC approved the interconnection agreement between BST and ATT (*Order No. PSC-01-2357-FOF-TP*).

26. Docket 010027-TP: IDS Telecom Arbitration

IDS Telcom, LLC filed a petition for arbitration on January 5, 2001. The issues include liability for negligence, identification of BellSouth as the underlying source of services, alternative dispute resolution, UNE combinations, line sharing, electronic ordering system failures, EEL combinations, billing, discontinuance of service, approval of advertising material, and the re-rating of calls in extended local calling areas. On July 16, 2001, IDS filed a notice of withdraw of its petition for arbitration, as all issues between the parties had been resolved.

27. Docket 010098-TP: Florida Digital Network, Inc.

Florida Digital filed a petition for arbitration with the FPSC on January 23, 2000. The issues to be arbitrated include access to UNES, reciprocal compensation, and disposition of trouble tickets. A one-day hearing was held on August 15, 2001. This docket was considered at the April 23, 2002 agenda conference.

On June 5, 2002, *Order No. PSC-02-0765-FOF-TP*, final order on Arbitration, was issued wherein the Commission imposed the requirement upon BellSouth that it continue to provide its FastAccess Internet service to customers that switch their voice service to a CLEC. The Commission imposed this same requirement in Docket No. 001305-TP (Supra Arbitration).

On June 17, 2002, FDN filed a motion for clarification, or reconsideration, and BST provided a response to this motion on June 24, 2002. On June 20, 2002,

BST filed its motion for reconsideration, and FDN filed its response on June 27, 2001. The staff filed a recommendation on August 8, 2002, recommending denial of BST's and FDN's motions for reconsideration. However, at the August 20, 2002 agenda conference, the FPSC deferred the item to be taken up at a later time.

28. DOCKET 020119-TP: Petition for expedited review and cancellation of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Key Customer promotional tariffs and for investigation of BellSouth's promotional pricing and marketing practices, by Florida Digital Network, Inc.

On January 15, 2002, BellSouth filed its Key Customer promotional tariff, Tariff No. T-020035, which became effective on January 31, 2002. On February 14, 2002, Florida Digital Network, Inc. filed the above reference Petition. On March 5, 2002, BellSouth filed its Response and Answer to FDN's Petition.

The promotional tariff at issue terminated on June 25, 2002. Based upon a history of BellSouth's past tariff filings, it is not uncommon for BellSouth to begin a new promotion upon, or near, the termination date of any given program. To illustrate, on May 10, 2002, BellSouth issued a notification to carriers of its intent to file a substantially similar tariff on June 11, 2002, to become effective on June 26, 2002, which is the day after the promotional tariff at issue expires. Based on

the notification, the anticipated discount levels and terms are slightly different, yet the qualifications and restrictions appear to be identical.

On June 28, 2002, the Commission issued *Order No. PSC-02-0875-PAA-TP* declining to impose a waiting period whereby BellSouth would be precluded from initiating any "winback" activities to regain a customer. The Commission acknowledged, however, that BellSouth has established a region wide, 10-day waiting period after the conversion to a CLEC is complete. Further, the Commission found that BellSouth shall be prohibited from including any marketing information in its final bill sent to customers who have switched providers. Moreover, BellSouth's wholesale division shall be prohibited from sharing information with its retail division, such as informing the retail division when a customer is switching from BellSouth to a CLEC.

29. DOCKET 020578-TP: Petition for expedited review and cancellation of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Key Customer promotional tariffs by Florida Competitive Carriers Association.

On July 19, 2002, FDN and the Florida Competitive Carrier Association ("FCCA") filed a petition for formal proceeding and protest of the Commission's *Order No.*PSC-02-0875-PAA-TP issued June 28, 2002.

On August 20, 2002, the Commission voted that BellSouth's promotional tariff will not be suspended, however, this docket will be consolidated with Docket No. 020119-TP and set for hearing. The current procedural schedule reflects hearing dates of January 8-9, 2003.



F.P.S.C. Alphabetic Listing of Regulated Telecommunications Utilities: Alternative Local Exchange

Number of Companies = 423

Name	Services	Company Code
1-800-RECONEX, Inc.	- Alternative Local Exchange	TX216
2nd Century Communications, Inc.	- Alternative Local Exchange	TX262
360networks (USA) inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX524
A.R.C. Networks, Inc.	- Alternative Local Exchange	TX047
Access Integrated Networks, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX326
Access Point, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX195
AccuTel of Texas, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX377
Actel Integrated Communications, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX314
Adelphia Business Solutions Investment East, LLC	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX635
Adelphia Business Solutions Investment, LLC	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX278
Adelphia Business Solutions of Florida, Inc.	 Alternative Access Vendor Alternative Local Exchange 	TA004
Adelphia Business Solutions of Jacksonville, Inc.	 Alternative Access Vendor Alternative Local Exchange 	TA003
Adelphia Telecommunications of Florida, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX180
Advanced Tel, Inc. d/b/a EATEL	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX577
Advanced TelCom of Delaware Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX431
Advantage Group of Florida Communications, L.L.C.	 Alternative Local Exchange 	TX572
Advent Consulting and Technology, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX124
Airface Communications Inc.	- Alternative Local Exchange	TX318
AirTIME Technologies, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	<u>TX269</u>
ALEC, Inc. d/b/a Volaris Telecom, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX163
Allegiance Telecom of Florida, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX342
Allied Riser of Florida, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	TX426
ALLTEL Communications, Inc.	· Alternative Local Exchange	<u>TX114</u>